

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 22-41 remain in this application

5 Written description rejection (claim 22)

Applicants regret the error in this claim as added previously. Accordingly claim 22 has been amended to respond to the rejection.

The mains terminals 10, 11, which are connection lugs, certainly are part of the module 9. The limitation of lines 14-15 of claim 22 cannot be met unless the mains terminals are part of the
10 module 9.

Accordingly this rejection is believed overcome.

Written description rejection (claim 24)

To expedite prosecution, applicants have amended claim 24 to correspond to the language
15 of original claim 9. To the extent that a written description rejection might be maintained against the amended claim, applicants request reconsideration of the rejection.

One of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the module 9 can be manufactured and used in more than one variation. Such a person also knows that there is no single word which unambiguously refers to such a module having all its internal parts without external connection
20 wires, in contradistinction to such a module including "fixedly connected" external connection wires. Each would commonly be referred to as "the module." In ordinary practice, an engineer would consider the distinction important only if there is a question whether or not a part (module) which is ordered, delivered or stocked, is complete. This application demonstrates the interchangeable use of the word.

25 The easy-installation embodiment of claim 24 as previously presented is supported by Figs. 3-6, which are described at lines 7-15 on page 4 as "showing the first module of Figs. 2 ..." in its assembled condition. The description does not say "the switching means configuration," but the module with its connecting wires are shown. The complete connection wires 62-66 are shown in these figures, along with their free ends which show conventional push-on connectors "arranged to
30 be connected to motor terminals 72 ... 76." Those of ordinary skill, upon reading these sentences,

would recognize that the writing style is consistent with common practice in describing parts and assemblies, and would believe that the connection wires could be considered part of an embodiment of the module 9.

Accordingly amended claim 24 is believed allowable.

5

Indefiniteness rejection (claim 22)

Claim 22 has been amended to make clear that there is only one set of two mains terminals. The two grounds of rejection of claim 22 having been responded to, claim 22 is believed in condition for allowance.

10

Claims 31 and 40


These claims have been amended to delete the reference character 16 in both places each.

All the claims having previously been allowed, or indicated as containing allowable subject matter, or now being placed in condition for allowance, entry of this amendment is believed proper. Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

20

Respectfully submitted,

25

By 
David R. Treacy, Reg. 25,244
Consulting Patent Attorney
(914) 738-2336